

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/765,880	01/18/2001	Gregory P. Crawford	12136.125	9292
7590 08/09/2004			EXAMINER	
REVEO Inc.			AKKAPEDDI, PRASAD R	
85 Executive Bl Elmsford, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
D			2871	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/765,880	CRAWFORD ET AL	-· 
, , <b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIST Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application applic	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
tiee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apport originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 32.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15-31 and	<u>33-47</u> .		
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appl	roved or b)☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			
		ANDERT H. KIM ERVISORY PATENT EX ECHNOLOGY CENTER	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issue in the amended claims being "an electric field capable of a continuous selection of electric field strength" as compared to the "first and second applied electric field strength" as recited in the original claims.

RA